arXiv:1302.2315v1 [math.FA] 10 Feb 2013

A sampling theorem on shift-invariant spaces associated with the fractional Fourier transform domain

Sinuk Kang

Abstract

As a generalization of the Fourier transform, the fractional Fourier transform was introduced and has been further investigated both in theory and in applications of signal processing. We obtain a sampling theorem on shift-invariant spaces associated with the fractional Fourier transform domain. The resulting sampling theorem extends not only the classical Whittaker-Shannon-Kotelnikov sampling theorem associated with the fractional Fourier transform domain, but also extends the prior sampling theorems on shift-invariant spaces.

Keyword: the fractional Fourier transform, shift-invariant space, uniform sampling, reproducing kernel Hilbert space
Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) Primary 94A20 · Secondary 42C15

1 Introduction

Let $PW_\pi := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}) : \operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset [-\pi, \pi]\}$ be the Paley-Wiener space of signals band-limited to $[-\pi, \pi]$ where we take the Fourier transfom (FT) as $\mathcal{F}[f](\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{-it\xi} dt$ for $f(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then the Whittaker-Shannon-Kotelnikov (WSK) sampling theorem [16, 20, 25] says that any $f(t)$ in PW_π is determined by its samples $\{f(n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and can be reconstructed via

$$f(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) \operatorname{sinc}(t - n)$$

where $\operatorname{sinc}(t) := \frac{\sin \pi t}{\pi t}$.

As a generalization of FT, the fractional Fourier transform (FrFT) was introduced in 1980s [17, 18]. For any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ we let

$$\mathcal{F}_\theta[f](\xi) = \hat{f}_\theta(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) K_\theta(t, \xi) dt \tag{1}$$

be the FrFT of $f(t)$ with respect to θ where

$$K_\theta(t,\xi) = \begin{cases} \delta(t-\xi) & \text{if } \theta = 2\pi n,\ n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \delta(t+\xi) & \text{if } \theta + \pi = 2\pi n,\ n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ c(\theta)e^{ia(\theta)(t^2+\xi^2)-ib(\theta)t\xi} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is the transformation kernel with $c(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{1-i\cot\theta}{2\pi}}$, $a(\theta) = \frac{\cot\theta}{2}$, and $b(\theta) = \csc\theta$. The inverse FrFT with respect to θ is defined by the FrFT with respect to $-\theta$, that is,

$$f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}_\theta(\xi)K_{-\theta}(t,\xi)d\xi.$$

For notational ease we write $a(\theta)$ and $b(\theta)$ as a and b, respectively, whereas we keep $c(\theta)$ to avoid a confusion over $c(n)$ of a sequence $\mathbf{c} = \{c(n)\}_n$. Note that the FrFT is a unitary operator from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and corresponds to the FT when $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_\theta[f](t) = \vec{f}(t) := \lambda_\theta(t)f(t)$$

be a unitary operator from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ where

$$\lambda_\theta(\cdot) := e^{ia(\cdot)^2} \tag{2}$$

denotes a domain independent modulation function [3]. We call $\vec{f}(t)$ the chirp-modulated version of $f(t)$. Then it follows, by definition, that

$$\hat{f}_\theta(\xi) = c(\theta)\mathcal{M}_\theta[\hat{\vec{f}}(b\xi)](\xi). \tag{3}$$

(3) implies that $f(t)$ is band-limited to $[-\omega,\omega]$ in the FrFT domain if and only if $\vec{f}(t)$ is band-limited to $[-\frac{\omega}{|b|},\frac{\omega}{|b|}]$ in the FT domain. Based on this observation, one can easily derive a sampling expansion of band-limited signals in the FrFT domain from the corresponding one of band-limited signals in the FT domain [5, 22, 23, 27]. For instance, assume that $f(t)$ is band-limited to $[-\pi,\pi]$ in the FrFT domain. Since $\operatorname{supp}\hat{f}_\theta(\xi) \subseteq [-\pi,\pi]$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{supp}\hat{\vec{f}}(\xi) \subseteq [-\frac{\pi}{|b|},\frac{\pi}{|b|}]$, one can deduce from the well-known sampling expansion of $\vec{f}$, $\vec{f}(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\vec{f}(bn)\operatorname{sinc}\frac{1}{b}(t-n)$, that

$$f(t) = e^{-iat^2}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f(bn)e^{-ia(bn)^2}\operatorname{sinc}\frac{1}{b}(t-n).$$

On the other hand, Hardy [11] pointed out that the WSK sampling theorem can be proved by so-called Fourier duality between PW_π and $L^2[0,2\pi]$. To describe it we first define the Zak transform. For any $\phi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ let $Z_\phi(t,\xi) := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\phi(t-n)e^{in\xi}$ be the Zak transform [13] of $\phi(t)$. Then $Z_\phi(t,\xi)$ is well-defined a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and quasi-periodic in the sense that $Z_\phi(t+1,\xi) = Z_\phi(t,\xi)e^{i\xi}$ and $Z_\phi(t,\xi+2\pi) = Z_\phi(t,\xi)$.

Now consider an integral operator $\mathcal{J}_{PW}$ from $L^2[0,2\pi]$ into PW_π with the kernel $Z_{\rm sinc}(t,\xi)$, defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_{PW}F(t) := \langle F(\cdot), \overline{Z_{\rm sinc}(t,\cdot)}\rangle = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle F(\cdot), e^{-in\cdot}\rangle {\rm sinc}(t-n),\ F \in L^2[0,2\pi].$$

Here $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the inner product in $L^2[0,2\pi]$. Then $\mathcal{J}_{PW}$ is a bounded invertible operator from $L^2[0,2\pi]$ onto PW_π. Thus we obtain for any $F(\xi) \in L^2[0,2\pi]$

$$f(t) := \mathcal{J}_{PW}F(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f(n){\rm sinc}(t-n)$$

which is the WSK sampling expansion on PW_π. Motivated by Hardy's approach, Garcia et al. [10] define an integral operator $\mathcal{J}$ from $L^2[0,2\pi]$ onto its range, say $V(\phi)$, by

$$\mathcal{J}F(t) := \langle F(\cdot), \overline{Z_\phi(t,\cdot)}\rangle,\ F \in L^2[0,2\pi]$$

for some $\phi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. They prove that under a suitable condition on $\phi(t)$, $\mathcal{J}$ is a bounded invertible operator from $L^2[0,2\pi]$ onto $V(\phi)$ and $\{Z_\phi(n,\xi) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ forms a stable basis (or a frame) of $V(\phi)$. Thus, by the same token, it follows via $\mathcal{J}$ that

$$f(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f(n)S_n(t),\ f(t) \in V(\phi)$$

where $S_n(t)$ is in $V(\phi)$ such that $\{\mathcal{J}[S_n](\xi) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the dual of $\{Z_\phi(n,\xi) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ in $L^2[0,2\pi]$. Note that $V(\phi) = \overline{\rm span}\{\phi(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $f(t) \in V(\phi)$ implies $f(t-n) \in V(\phi)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call $V(\phi)$ the shift-invariant space generated by $\phi(t)$. Obviously, this approach reduces to Hardy's when $\phi(t) = {\rm sinc}(t)$.

$V(\phi)$, as a generalization of PW_π(=V(sinc)), has been widely studied from the beginning of 1990s. The structure of $V(\phi)$ is addressed by so-called fiber analysis in [4, 8, 19]. A sampling theorem on $V(\phi)$ has been investigated in various directions. See [1, 6, 9, 14, 15, 21, 24] and references therein.

Recently, Bhandari and Zayed [3] extend $V(\phi)$ into a chirp-modulated shift-invariant space

$$V_\theta(\phi) := closure\,\{(\mathbf{c} *_\theta \phi)(t) : \mathbf{c} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\}.$$

Here $*_\theta$ is the fractional semi-discrete convolution to be specified later in (6). $V_\theta(\phi)$ corresponds to $V(\phi)$ when $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, and is no longer (integer) shift-invariant. Using (3), they obtain a sampling expansion on $V_\theta(\phi)$. However there is a gap in determining the structure of $V_\theta(\phi)$ (Remark 3.4) and their sampling expansion is formally presented: no condition is given for such sampling expansion to hold in $V_\theta(\phi)$.

In this paper, motivated by [10], we define a bounded invertible operator $\mathcal{J}_\theta$ from $L^2[0, \frac{2\pi}{|b|}]$ onto $V_\theta(\phi)$, and use it to derive a sampling expansion on $V_\theta(\phi)$. We call this isomorphic relationship the fractional Fourier duality. The structure of $V_\theta(\phi)$ is also addressed. Our results extend those of [10, 15] and improve the previous sampling theory on $V_\theta(\phi)$ by filling the gap in [3].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define the notation and terminology needed throughout the paper and provide useful properties of them. In Section 3 a condition for $V_\theta(\phi)$ to be a reproducing kernel Hilbert space is presented. In Section 4 the fractional Fourier duality is defined. We discuss conditions under which a certain sequence of functions forms a frame or a Riesz basis of $L^2[0, \frac{2\pi}{|b|}]$. Using this together with the Fourier duality, we derive a sampling expansion on $V_\theta(\phi)$. As an illustrating example the sampling theorem of band-limited signals in the FrFT domain is given.

2 Preliminary

A sequence $\{\phi_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of vectors in a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is

- a Bessel sequence with a bound B if there is constant $B > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\langle \phi, \phi_n\rangle|^2 \le B\|\phi\|^2,\ \phi \in \mathcal{H};$$

- a frame of $\mathcal{H}$ with bounds (A, B) if there are constants $B \ge A > 0$ such that

$$A\|\phi\|^2 \le \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\langle \phi, \phi_n\rangle|^2 \le B\|\phi\|^2,\ \phi \in \mathcal{H};$$

- a Riesz basis of $\mathcal{H}$ with bounds (A, B) if it is complete in $\mathcal{H}$ and there are constants $B \ge A > 0$ such that

$$A\|\mathbf{c}\|^2 \le \|\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c(n)\phi_n\|^2 \le B\|\mathbf{c}\|^2,\ \mathbf{c} = \{c(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2,$$

  where $\|\mathbf{c}\|^2 := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |c(n)|^2$.

We introduce some useful properties of the FrFT [2, 28]:

- $\langle f, g\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \langle \hat{f}_\theta, \hat{g}_\theta\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ (Parseval's relation);
- $\mathcal{F}_\theta[\frac{c(\theta)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\overline{\lambda_\theta(t)}(\vec{f} * \vec{g})(t)](\xi) = \hat{f}_\theta(\xi)\hat{g}_\theta(\xi)\overline{\lambda_\theta(t)}$.

We have defined the FrFT $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ in the previous section as a generalization of the FT $\mathcal{F}$. Analogously, we define useful notations associated with θ, most of which are borrowed from [3]. For any $\phi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ let

$$C_{\phi,\theta}(t) := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\vec{\phi}(t-n)|^2 \text{ and } G_{\phi,\theta}(\xi) := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\phi}_\theta(\xi + n\Delta)|^2 \tag{4}$$

where

$$\Delta := \frac{2\pi}{|b|} = 2\pi|\sin\theta|. \tag{5}$$

Note that $\|\phi(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|C_{\phi,\theta}(t)\|^2_{L^1[0,1]} = \|G_{\phi,\theta}(\xi)\|^2_{L^1[0,\Delta]}$. For any $\mathbf{c} = \{c(n)\}_n \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ let

$$\hat{\mathbf{c}}_\theta(\xi) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c(n)K_\theta(n,\xi)$$

be the discrete FrFT of $\mathbf{c}$ with respect to θ. The inverse discrete FrFT is $c(n) = \int_0^\Delta \hat{\mathbf{c}}_\theta(\xi)K_{-\theta}(n,\xi)d\xi$. We remark that, as a consequence of Lemma 4.3, $\{K_\theta(n,\xi) : n \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2[0,\Delta]$.

A Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of complex valued functions on a set E is called a reproducing kernel Hilbert space(RKHS) if there is a function $q(s,t)$ on $E\times E$, called the reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{H}$, satisfying

- $q(\cdot,t) \in \mathcal{H}$ for each t in E,
- $\langle f(s), q(s,t)\rangle = f(t)$, $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

In an RKHS $\mathcal{H}$ any norm converging sequence also converges uniformly on any subset of E, on which $\|q(\cdot,t)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} = q(t,t)$ is bounded. The reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{H}$ is unique and obtained by $q(s,t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e_n(s)\overline{e_n(t)}$ where $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$ [12].

For any $\mathbf{c} = \{c(n)\}_n \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\phi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ let

$$T_\theta(\mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{c} *_\theta \phi)(t) := c(\theta)\overline{\lambda_\theta(t)}(\vec{\mathbf{c}} * \vec{\phi})(t) \tag{6}$$

be the fractional semi-discrete convolution of $\mathbf{c}$ and $\phi(t)$ with respect to θ. Here $*$ denotes the conventional semi-discrete convolution, i.e. $(\mathbf{c} * \phi)(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c(n)\phi(t-n)$. It should be noticed that (6) may or may not converge in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 2.1 (cf. Lemma 1 of [3])**.** *Let* $\phi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. *If* $\{\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ *is a Bessel sequence then for any* $\mathbf{c} = \{c(n)\}_n \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, $(\mathbf{c} *_\theta \phi)(t)$ *converges in* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *and*

$$\mathcal{F}_\theta[\mathbf{c} *_\theta \phi](\xi) = \overline{\lambda_\theta(\xi)}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_\theta(\xi)\hat{\phi}_\theta(\xi).$$

Proof. We refer to Lemma 7.1.6 of [7] and Lemma 1 of [3]. □

Remark 2.2. *To make sure* $(c *_\theta \phi)(t)$ *to be well-defined in* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, *we assume in Lemma 2.1 that* $\{\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ *is a Bessel sequence, while the authors of [3] assumed* ϕ *to have a compact support (Lemma 1 of [3]).*

For $\phi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we have defined

$$V_\theta(\phi) := closure\,\{(\mathbf{c} *_\theta \phi)(t) : \mathbf{c} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\}$$

as the chirp-modulated shift-invariant space generated by $\phi(t)$. As already mentioned $V_\theta(\phi)$ may or may not be well-defined in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For notational ease we let

$$\eta_\theta(t) := c(\theta)\overline{\lambda_\theta(t)} \tag{7}$$

so that

$$V_\theta(\phi) = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\eta_\theta(t)\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Note that $\sqrt{\Delta}|\eta_\theta(t)| = \sqrt{\Delta}|c(\theta)| = 1$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $\phi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ let

$$Z_{\phi,\theta}(t,\xi) := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \vec{\phi}(t-n)\lambda_\theta(n)\overline{K_\theta(n,\xi)} \tag{8}$$

be the fractional Zak transform of $\phi(t)$ with respect to θ. As a consequence of Lemma 4.3, $\{\lambda_\theta(n)K_\theta(n,\xi) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthononal basis of $L^2[0,\Delta]$ so that $\|Z_{\phi,\theta}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2[0,\Delta]} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\vec{\phi}(t-n)|^2$ a.e. on $[0,1]$. By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\|Z_{\phi,\theta}(t,\xi)\|^2_{L^2([0,1]\times[0,\Delta])} = \int_0^1 \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\vec{\phi}(t-n)|^2 dt = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_0^1 |\phi(t-n)|^2 dt = \|\phi\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

and, therefore, $Z_{\phi,\theta}(t,\xi)$ is well-defined a.e. on $[0,1]\times[0,\Delta]$. Since

$$Z_{\phi,\theta}(t-n,\xi) = Z_{\phi,\theta}(t,\xi)e^{-ibn\xi},\ n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{9}$$

and $Z_{\phi,\theta}(t,\xi+\Delta) = Z_{\phi,\theta}(t,\xi)e^{-ia\Delta(\Delta+2\xi)}$, $Z_{\phi,\theta}(t,\xi)$ is well-defined a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^2$. When $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $Z_{\phi,\theta}(t,\xi)$ corresponds to $Z_\phi(t,\xi)$ where $Z_\phi(t,\xi) := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \phi(t-n)e^{in\xi}$ denotes the conventional Zak transform [13] of $\phi(t)$.

Remark 2.3. *Bhandari and Zayed define the fractional Zak transform in different way (cf. Definition 9 of [3]). Almost all properties of their fractional Zak transform coincide with ours except* (9)*.*

We close this section with the following useful observations:

$$C_{\phi,\theta}(t) = C_\phi(t); \tag{10}$$

$$G_{\phi,\theta}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} G_{\vec{\phi}}(b\xi); \tag{11}$$

$$Z_{\phi,\theta}(t,\xi) = \overline{\lambda_\theta(\xi)} Z_{\vec{\phi}}(t,b\xi), \tag{12}$$

where $C_\phi(t)$, $G_\phi(\xi)$ and $Z_\phi(t,\xi)$ denote $C_{\phi,\frac{\pi}{2}}(t)$, $G_{\phi,\frac{\pi}{2}}(\xi)$ and $Z_{\phi,\frac{\pi}{2}}(t,\xi)$, respectively.

3 $V_\theta(\phi)$ as an RKHS

The aim of Section 3 is to determine $V_\theta(\phi)$ as an RKHS. In [15], Kim and Kwon show that $V(\phi)$ becomes an RKHS depending on behavior of $C_\phi(t)$. Their result, however, can not be directly applied to $V_\theta(\phi)$ since it is no longer a shift-invariant space.

Consider the linear operator $\mathcal{U}_\theta : V(\vec{\phi}) \to V_\theta(\phi)$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{U}_\theta f)(t) := \eta_\theta(t)f(t),\ f \in V(\vec{\phi}).$$

$\mathcal{U}_\theta$ is an isomorphism from $V(\vec{\phi})$ onto $V_\theta(\phi)$ and $\|\mathcal{U}_\theta f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{1}{\Delta}\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$. It is easy to see that for $V_\theta(\phi)$ to be an RKHS, it is necessary that $\phi(t)$ is well-defined everywhere on $\mathbb{R}$ and $C_{\phi,\theta}(t) < \infty$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Conversely, following Proposition 2.3. of [15], we have:

Proposition 3.1. *Let* $\phi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *be well-defined everywhere on* $\mathbb{R}$*, and let* $C_{\phi,\theta}(t)$ *and* $\eta_\theta(t)$ *be given by* (4) *and* (7)*, respectively. Assume* $C_{\phi,\theta}(t) < \infty$ *for* $t \in \mathbb{R}$*.*

(a) If $\{\eta_\theta(t)\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ *is a frame of* $V_\theta(\phi)$*, then* $V^p_\theta(\phi) := \{(\mathbf{c} *_\theta \phi)(t) : \mathbf{c} \in N(T_\theta)^\perp\}$ *is an RKHS in which any* $f(t) = (\mathbf{c} *_\theta \phi)(t)$ *is the pointwise limit of* $\eta_\theta(t)\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \vec{c}(n)\vec{\phi}(t-n)$*, which converges also in* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$*. Here* $N(T_\theta)^\perp$ *denotes the orthogonal complement of* $N(T_\theta) := Ker(T_\theta)$ *in* $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$

(b) If $\{\eta_\theta(t)\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ *is a Riesz basis of* $V_\theta(\phi)$*, then* $V_\theta(\phi)$ *is an RKHS in which any* $f(t) = (\mathbf{c} *_\theta \phi)(t)$ *is the pointwise limit of* $\eta_\theta(t)\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \vec{c}(n)\vec{\phi}(t-n)$*, which converges also in* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$*.*

(c) If $\{\eta_\theta(t)\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ *is a frame of* $V_\theta(\phi)$*,* $\vec{\phi}(t)$ *is continuous on* $\mathbb{R}$ *and* $\sup_{\mathbb{R}} C_{\phi,\theta}(t) < \infty$*, then then* $V_\theta(\phi)$ *is an RKHS in which any* $f(t) = (\mathbf{c} *_\theta \phi)(t)$ *is the pointwise limit of* $\eta_\theta(t)\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \vec{c}(n)\vec{\phi}(t-n)$*, which converges also in* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *and uniformly on* $\mathbb{R}$ *to a continuous function on* $\mathbb{R}$ *(so* $V_\theta(\phi) \subset C(\mathbb{R}) \cup L^2(\mathbb{R})$*).*

Proof. Thanks to $\mathcal{U}_\theta$, $\{\eta_\theta(t)\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Bessel sequence, a frame, a Riesz basis, or an orthonormal basis of $V_\theta(\phi)$ if and only if $\{\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Bessel sequence, a frame, a Riesz basis, or an orthonormal basis of $V(\vec{\phi})$, respectively. We refer to the proof of Proposition 2.3. of [15]. Then it is sufficient to show that (i) the point evaluation operator $l_t(\cdot) : V_\theta(\phi) \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by $l_t(f) := f(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is bounded, and (ii) $Ker(T_\theta) = Ker(T)$ where $T := \sqrt{2\pi}T_{\frac{\pi}{2}}$. (i) follows since $l_t(f) = l_t(\mathcal{U}_\theta^{-1}\tilde{f}) = \overline{c(\theta)}\lambda_\theta(t)l_t(\tilde{f})$ where $\tilde{f} := \mathcal{U}_\theta f$. Since $T_\theta(\mathbf{c}) = \eta_\theta(t)T(\vec{\mathbf{c}})$ for $\mathbf{c} \in \ell^2$, we have (ii). □

We have actually proved that $V(\vec{\phi})$ is an RKHS if and only if $V_\theta(\phi)$, as an isomorphic image of $V(\vec{\phi})$ through $\mathcal{U}_\theta$, is an RKHS. This is not true with an arbitrary isomorphic image of $V(\vec{\phi})$. Note here that $q_\theta(s,t) = \eta_\theta(s)\overline{\eta_\theta(t)}q(s,t)$ where $q_\theta(s,t)$ and $q(s,t)$ denote the reproducing kernels of $V_\theta(\phi)$ and $V(\vec{\phi})$, respectively.

We are now interested in the following question: When does $\{\eta_\theta(t)\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ form a frame or a Riesz basis of $V_\theta(\phi)$? If $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ the behavior of a sequence of the form $\{\eta_\theta(t)\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ in $V_\theta(\phi)$, can be characterized by so-called fiber analysis. We refer to [4, 7, 8, 15] for details. We adapt Theorem 7.1.7 of [7] and translate it into the following two propositions. The proofs are omitted since they are essentially the same as the proof Theorem 7.1.7 of [7], provided that one notices $\|T_\theta(\mathbf{c})\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|\mathcal{F}_\theta[T_\theta(\mathbf{c})]\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|\overline{\lambda_\theta(\xi)}\hat{\mathbf{c}}_\theta(\xi)\hat{\phi}_\theta(\xi)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \int_0^\Delta |\hat{\mathbf{c}}_\theta(\xi)|^2 G_{\phi,\theta}(\xi)d\xi$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\phi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $B \geq A > 0$ and let $\eta_\theta(t)$ be given by* (7)*. Then $\{\eta_\theta(t)\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is*

(a) a Bessel sequence with bound B if and only if $G_{\phi,\theta}(\xi) \leq B$ a.e. on $[0,\Delta]$;

(b) a frame of $V_\theta(\phi)$ with bound (A,B) if and only if

$$A \leq G_{\phi,\theta}(\xi) \leq B \text{ a.e. on } [0,\Delta] \cap \operatorname{supp} G_{\phi,\theta}(\xi);$$

(c) a Riesz basis of $V_\theta(\phi)$ with bound (A,B) if and only if

$$A \leq G_{\phi,\theta}(\xi) \leq B \text{ a.e. on } [0,\Delta];$$

(d) an orthonormal basis of $V_\theta(\phi)$ if and only if $G_{\phi,\theta}(\xi) = 1$ a.e. on $[0,\Delta]$.

Or equivalently, we have:

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\phi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $B \geq A > 0$, and let T_θ and $\eta_\theta(t)$ be given by* (6) *and* (7)*, respectively. Then $\{\eta_\theta(t)\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is*

(a) a Bessel sequence with bound B if and only if T_θ is a bounded linear operator from $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ into $V_\theta(\phi)$ and $\|T_\theta(\mathbf{c})\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq B\|\mathbf{c}\|^2,\ \mathbf{c} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$;

(b) a frame of $V_\theta(\phi)$ with bound (A,B) if and only if T_θ is a bounded linear operator from $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ onto $V_\theta(\phi)$ and

$$A\|\mathbf{c}\|^2 \leq \|T_\theta(\mathbf{c})\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq B\|\mathbf{c}\|^2,\ \mathbf{c} \in N(T_\theta)^\perp$$

where $N(T_\theta)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $N(T_\theta) := Ker(T_\theta)$.

(c) a Riesz basis of $V_\theta(\phi)$ with bound (A,B) if and only if T_θ is an isomorphism from $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ onto $V_\theta(\phi)$ and

$$A\|\mathbf{c}\|^2 \leq \|T_\theta(\mathbf{c})\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq B\|\mathbf{c}\|^2,\ \mathbf{c} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z});$$

(d) an orthonormal basis of $V_\theta(\phi)$ if and only if T_θ is a unitary operator from $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ onto $V_\theta(\phi)$ and $\|T_\theta(\mathbf{c})\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|\mathbf{c}\|^2,\ \mathbf{c} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 3.4. *In [3], the authors claim that the formula (26) of [3] is the necessary and sufficient condition for $\{\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ to be a Riesz basis of $V_\theta(\phi)$. However it is the one for $\{\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ to be a Riesz basis of $V(\vec{\phi})$, but not of $V_\theta(\phi)$. Or equivalently, it is the necessary and sufficient condition for $\{\eta_\theta(t)\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ to be a Riesz basis of $V_\theta(\phi)$. In general $\{\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is not even complete in $V_\theta(\phi)$. For instance, let $\phi(t) = \chi_{[0,1)}(t)$ where $\chi_{[0,1)}(t) :=$ 1 for $t \in [0,1)$ and 0 otherwise. Then $\eta_\theta(t)\vec{\phi}(t) = c(\theta)\chi_{[0,1)}(t) \in V_\theta(\phi)$ and $\langle \eta_\theta(t)\vec{\phi}(t), \vec{\phi}(t-n)\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \langle c(\theta)\chi_{[0,1)}(t), e^{ia(t-n)^2}\chi_{[0,1)}(t-n)\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$. For $\{\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ to be complete in $V_\theta(\phi)$, there should be some constant M such that $c(\theta)\chi_{[0,1)}(t) = Me^{iat^2}\chi_{[0,1)}(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which is true only if $a = 0$, or equivalently $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + n\pi$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

4 The fractional Fourier duality

In what follows we always let Assumption 4.1 below hold so that by Proposition 3.1 and 3.2, $V_\theta(\phi)$ becomes an RKHS and $\{c(\theta)\overline{\lambda_\theta(t)}\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Riesz basis of $V_\theta(\phi)$.

Assumption 4.1. *Let* $\Delta := \frac{2\pi}{|b|} = 2\pi|\sin\theta|$ *and* $\phi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$*.*

- $\phi(t)$ *is well-defined everywhere on* $\mathbb{R}$*;*
- *there exist constants* $B \geq A > 0$ *such that* $A \leq |G_{\phi,\theta}(\xi)| \leq B$ *a.e. on* $[0, \Delta]$*;*
- $C_{\phi,\theta}(t) < \infty$ *for* $t \in \mathbb{R}$*.*

We consider the bounded linear operator $\mathcal{J}_\theta$ from $L^2[0,\Delta]$ into $V_\theta(\phi)$, defined by

$$(\mathcal{J}_\theta F)(t) := (\{\langle F, K_\theta(n,\cdot)\rangle_{L^2[0,\Delta]}\}_n *_\theta \phi)(t) = \langle F, \lambda_\theta(t)\overline{c(\theta)Z_{\phi,\theta}(t,\xi)}\rangle_{L^2[0,\Delta]}. \tag{13}$$

Proposition 4.2 (cf. Theorem 1 of [10])**.**

(a) $\mathcal{J}_\theta$ *is an isomorphism from* $L^2[0,\Delta]$ *onto* $V_\theta(\phi)$*.*

(b) $\mathcal{F}_\theta[\mathcal{J}_\theta F](\xi) = \overline{\lambda_\theta(\xi)}F(\xi)\hat{\phi}_\theta(\xi)$*.*

(c) $\lambda_\theta(t)\mathcal{J}_\theta[F(\cdot)e^{-ibk\cdot}](t) = \lambda_\theta(t-k)\mathcal{J}_\theta F(t-k)$*.*

Proof. $\mathcal{J}_\theta$ maps the orthonormal basis $\{K_\theta(n,\xi) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $L^2[0,\Delta]$ into the Riesz basis $\{c(\theta)\overline{\lambda_\theta(t)}\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $V_\theta(\phi)$, so it is bijective. For (a) it suffices to show that $\mathcal{J}_\theta$ is bounded. This follows since $\|(\mathcal{J}_\theta F)(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \vec{d}(n)c(\theta)\overline{\lambda_\theta(t)}\vec{\phi}(t-n)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq B\|\vec{\mathbf{d}}\|^2 = B\|\mathbf{d}\|^2 = B\|F\|^2_{L^2[0,\Delta]}$ where $\mathbf{d} = \{d(n) := \langle F, K_\theta(n,\cdot)\rangle_{L^2[0,\Delta]} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and B denotes the upper bound of the Riesz basis $\{c(\theta)\overline{\lambda_\theta(t)}\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of $V_\theta(\phi)$.

Since $\{c(\theta)\overline{\lambda_\theta(t)}\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the Riesz basis of $V_\theta(\phi)$, $\{\vec{\phi}(t-n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Bessel sequence. Thus (b) follows by Lemma 2.1. Finally (c) is obtained by (9). $\square$

We are to derive a sampling expansion on $V_\theta(\phi)$ of the following form:

$$f(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{R}} f(\sigma+n)S_n(t),\ f \in V_\theta(\phi) \tag{14}$$

where $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ and $\{S_n(t) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Riesz basis or a frame of $V_\theta(\phi)$.

Since $V_\theta(\phi)$ is an RKHS, $f(t)$ is well-defined for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus for any $F(\xi) \in L^2[0,\Delta]$ we have from (9) and (13) that

$$f(\sigma+n) = \langle F(\xi), \lambda_\theta(\sigma+n)\overline{c(\theta)Z_{\phi,\theta}(\sigma,\xi)e^{-ibn\xi}}\rangle_{L^2[0,\Delta]},\ n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{15}$$

where $f(t) := (\mathcal{J}_\theta F)(t)$.

Lemma 4.3 (cf. Theorem 2 of [10])**.** *Let* $g(\xi) \in L^2[0,\Delta]$ *and* $|\rho(n)| = 1$ *for* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*.*

(a) $\{g(\xi)\rho(n)e^{-ibn\xi} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ *is a Bessel sequence with bound* $B > 0$ *if and only if* $\Delta|g(\xi)|^2 \le B$ *a.e. on* $[0,\Delta]$*, or equivalently,* $g(\xi) \in L^\infty[0,\Delta]$*.*

(b) The following are equivalent.

  (b1) $\{g(\xi)\rho(n)e^{-ibn\xi} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ *is a frame of* $L^2[0,\Delta]$ *with bounds* (A,B)*.*

  (b2) $\{g(\xi)\rho(n)e^{-ibn\xi} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ *is a Riesz basis of* $L^2[0,\Delta]$ *with bounds* (A,B)*.*

  (b3) There exist constants $B \ge A > 0$ *such that* $A \le \Delta|g(\xi)|^2 \le B$ *a.e. on* $[0,\Delta]$*.*

(c) $\{g(\xi)\rho(n)e^{-ibn\xi} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ *is an orthonormal basis of* $L^2[0,\Delta]$ *if and only if* $\Delta|g(\xi)|^2 = 1$ *a.e. on* $[0,\Delta]$*.*

Proof. Note that $\{g(\xi)\rho(n)e^{-ibn\xi} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Bessel sequence, a frame, a Riesz basis, or an orthonormal basis of $L^2[0,\Delta]$ if and only if $\{g(\xi)e^{-ibn\xi} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Bessel sequence, a frame, a Riesz basis, or an orthonormal basis of $L^2[0,\Delta]$, respectively. For any $F(\xi) \in L^2[0,\Delta]$ it follows that $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\langle F(\xi), g(\xi)e^{-ibn\xi}\rangle_{L^2[0,\Delta]}|^2 = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\langle F(\xi)\overline{g(\xi)}, e^{-ibn\xi}\rangle_{L^2[0,\Delta]}|^2 = \Delta\|F(\xi)\overline{g(\xi)}\|^2_{L^2[0,\Delta]}$. We then refer to the proof of Theorem 2 of [10] for (a) and (b). (c) can be deduced by essentially the same way as in proofs of (a) and (b). □

For later use we note that, as an consequence (c) of Lemma 4.3 with $g(\xi) = c(\theta)\lambda_\theta(\xi)$ and $\rho(n) = \lambda_\theta(n)$, $\{K_\theta(n,\xi) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2[0,\Delta]$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let Assumption 4.1 hold. Let* $0 \le \sigma < 1$*, and let* $\lambda_\theta(\cdot)$ *and* $C_{\phi,\theta}(t)$ *be given by (2) and (4), respectively. The following are equivalent.*

(a) There is a frame $\{S_n(t) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ *of* $V_\theta(\phi)$ *such that*

$$f(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f(\sigma+n)S_n(t), \;\; f \in V_\theta(\phi). \tag{16}$$

(b) There is a Riesz basis $\{S_n(t) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ *of* $V_\theta(\phi)$ *such that*

$$f(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f(\sigma+n)S_n(t), \;\; f \in V_\theta(\phi). \tag{17}$$

(c) There are constants $\beta \ge \alpha > 0$ *such that*

$$\alpha \le |Z_{\phi,\theta}(\sigma,\xi)| \le \beta, \quad \text{a.e. on } [0,\Delta] \tag{18}$$

where $Z_{\phi,\theta}(\cdot,\cdot)$ *is the fractional Zak transform of* ϕ*, defined by (8).*

In this case (16) *and* (17) *converge in* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *and uniformly on a subset of* $\mathbb{R}$, *on which* $C_{\phi,\theta}(t)$ *is bounded, and*

$$S_n(t) = \overline{\lambda_\theta(t)}\lambda_\theta(\sigma+n)\lambda_\theta(t-n)S(t-n) \tag{19}$$

where $S(t)$ *is in* $V_\theta(\phi)$ *such that*

$$\hat{S}_\theta(\xi) = \frac{\hat{\phi}_\theta(\xi)}{\lambda_\theta(\xi)Z_{\phi,\theta}(\sigma,\xi)} \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{R} \tag{20}$$

and $S_n(\sigma+k) = \delta_{n,k}$ *for* $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We remind from (15) that for any $F(\xi) \in L^2[0,\Delta]$,

$$f(\sigma+n) = \langle F(\xi), \overline{c(\theta)Z_{\phi,\theta}(\sigma,\xi)}\lambda_\theta(\sigma+n)e^{-ibn\xi}\rangle_{L^2[0,\Delta]},\ n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where $f(t) := (\mathcal{J}_\theta F)(t)$. Assume (c). By (b) of Lemma 4.3 with $g(\xi) = \overline{c(\theta)Z_{\phi,\theta}(\sigma,\xi)}$ and $\rho(n) = \lambda_\theta(\sigma+n)$, $\{\overline{c(\theta)Z_{\phi,\theta}(\sigma,\xi)}\lambda_\theta(\sigma+n)e^{-ibn\xi} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a frame (or equivalently, a Riesz basis) of $L^2[0,\Delta]$. Then there exists its dual in $L^2[0,\Delta]$ and it is easy to see that the dual is of the form $\{h_\theta(\xi)\lambda_\theta(\sigma+n)e^{-ibn\xi} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for some $h_\theta(\xi) \in L^2[0,\Delta]$. Thus we obtain a frame (or equivalently, a Riesz basis) expansion of $F(\xi)$ in $L^2[0,\Delta]$ as

$$F(\xi) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f(\sigma+n)h_\theta(\xi)\lambda_\theta(\sigma+n)e^{-ibn\xi}. \tag{21}$$

Via $\mathcal{J}_\theta$, (21) is equivalent to $f(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f(\sigma+n)\mathcal{J}_\theta[h_\theta(\xi)\lambda_\theta(\sigma+n)e^{-ibn\xi}](t)$ for $f(t) \in V_\theta(\phi)$, which proves (a) (or equivalently (b)) by setting $S_n(t) = \mathcal{J}_\theta[h_\theta(\xi)\lambda_\theta(\sigma+n)e^{-ibn\xi}](t)$. In this case, by (c) of Proposition 4.2, setting $S(t) := \mathcal{J}_\theta[h_\theta](t) \in V_\theta(\phi)$, we obtain (19) . Now assume (a). Applying $\mathcal{J}_\theta^{-1}$ on (16), we have (21) where $F(\xi) := \mathcal{J}_\theta^{-1}[f](\xi)$. Then (c) follows from (b) of Lemma 4.3 and so does the equivalence between (a) and (b). The convergence mode follows since $V_\theta(\phi)$ is an RKHS in which any L^2-convergent sequence also converges uniformly where $\|q_\theta(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = q_\theta(t,t) = C_{\phi,\theta}(t)$ is bounded. Here, $q_\theta(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the reproducing kernel of $V_\theta(\phi)$. Finally we have (20) by substituting $\phi(t)$ into $f(t)$ on (16), followed by taking $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ on both sides. ☐

$Z_{\phi,\theta}(t,\xi)$, as a function of ξ, is not Δ-periodic. So α and β in (18) vary depending on an interval of length Δ. For instance, one can take an interval as $[-\Delta/2, \Delta/2]$ while we take as $[0,\Delta]$ in (13). However it is interesting to note that the synthesis function $S_n(t)$, given by (19), is independent of the interval. Based on this observation, we have:

Theorem 4.5. *Let the assumption and the notation be the same as in Theorem 4.4. Then the equivalent statements of Theorem 4.4 are also equivalent to*

(d) There are constants $\beta \geq \alpha > 0$ *such that*

$$\alpha \leq |Z_{\phi,\theta}(\sigma,\xi)| \leq \beta, \quad \text{a.e. on } E$$

where E *is an interval of length* Δ *in* $\mathbb{R}$, *and* $Z_{\phi,\theta}(\cdot,\cdot)$ *is the fractional Zak transform of* ϕ, *defined by* (8).

Proof. We need to show that $S_n(t)$ (or equivalently, $S(t)$) is given independently of the choice of an interval of length Δ. Since the denominator $\lambda_\theta(\xi)Z_{\phi,\theta}(\sigma,\xi) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \vec{\phi}(t-n)e^{ibn\xi}$ of (20) is Δ-periodic, the conclusion follows. $\square$

Using an orthonormal basis expansion of $V_\theta(\phi)$, we also have:

Theorem 4.6. *Let Assumption 4.1 hold. Let $0 \le \sigma < 1$, and $C_{\phi,\theta}(t)$ be given by* (4). *The following are equivalent.*

(a) There is an orthonormal basis $\{S_n(t) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $V_\theta(\phi)$ such that

$$f(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f(\sigma+n)S_n(t),\ f \in V_\theta(\phi)$$

which converges in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and uniformly on a subset of $\mathbb{R}$, on which $C_{\phi,\theta}(t)$ is bounded.

(b) $|Z_{\phi,\theta}(\sigma,\xi)|^2 = 1$ a.e. on E, where E is an interval of length Δ in $\mathbb{R}$ and $Z_{\phi,\theta}(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the fractional Zak transform of ϕ, defined by (8).

In this case, $S_n(t)$ is given by (19) *and $S_n(\sigma+k) = \delta_{n,k}$ for $n,k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. We borrow the notation from the proof of Theorem 4.4. Then it is enough to show that $\{\overline{c(\theta)Z_{\phi,\theta}(\sigma,\xi)}\lambda_\theta(\sigma+n)e^{-ibn\xi} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2[0,\Delta]$ if and only if $|Z_{\phi,\theta}(\sigma,\xi)|^2 = 1$ a.e. on $[0,\Delta]$. This follows by (c) of Lemma 4.3. $\square$

Remark 4.7. *Theorem 4.4 and 4.6 are also derived from Theorem 3.2. of [15], combined with Proposition 3.1. of [15], since $V_\theta(\phi)$ is an isomorphic image of $V(\vec{\phi})$. Statements and formulas in Theorem 3.2. of [15] can be translated into the corresponding ones by means of* (3) *and* (10)-(12). *For instance, the equation (3.12) of [15] agrees with* (20).

From Remark 4.7, it is not difficult to see that the following are also equivalent to the statements of Theorem 4.4 and 4.5.

(e) There are constants $\beta \ge \alpha > 0$ such that

$$\alpha\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |f(\sigma+n)|^2 \le \beta\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},\ f \in V_\theta(\phi).$$

(f) $\{q_\theta(\cdot,\sigma+n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Riesz basis of $V_\theta(\phi)$ where $q_\theta(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the reproducing kernel of $V_\theta(\phi)$.

Example 4.8. *Let $\phi(t) = \overline{\lambda_\theta(t)}\mathrm{sinc}(t)$ and $\sigma = 0$. Then $\sup_{\mathbb{R}} C_{\phi,\theta}(t) < \infty$, and $Z_{\phi,\theta}(0,\xi) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{sinc}(-n)\lambda_\theta(n)\overline{K_\theta(n,\xi)} = \overline{K_\theta(0,\xi)} = \overline{c(\theta)}e^{-ia\xi^2}$ so that $\Delta|Z_{\phi,\theta}(0,\xi)|^2 = 1$ on $[0,\Delta]$. By Theorem 4.6 we have an orthonormal basis expansion:*

$$f(t) = e^{-iat^2}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f(n)e^{ian^2}\mathrm{sinc}(t-n),\ f \in V_\theta(\phi) \tag{22}$$

which converges in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$. (22) *is known as the WSK sampling expansion for the FrFT domain, first derived in [26, 27].*

Acknowledgement
The author thanks Prof. Ahmed I. Zayed for useful comments and suggestions during the preparation of the manuscript. This work is partially supported by EM-BEAM program funded by the European Commision.

References

[1] Aldroubi, A.: Non-uniform weighted average sampling and reconstruction in shift-invariant and wavelet spaces. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **13**(2), 151–161 (2002)

[2] Almeida, L.B.: The fractional Fourier transform and time-frequency representations. IEEE T. Signal Process. **42**(11), 3084–3091 (1994)

[3] Bhandari, A., Zayed, A.I.: Shift-Invariant and Sampling Spaces Associated With the Fractional Fourier Transform Domain. IEEE T. Signal Process. **60**(4), 1627–1637 (2012)

[4] Bownik, M.: The structure of shift-invariant subspaces of L-2(R-n). J. Funct. Anal. **177**(2), 282–309 (2000)

[5] Candan, Ç., Ozaktas, H.M.: Sampling and series expansion theorems for fractional Fourier and other transforms. Signal Process. **83**(11), 2455–2457 (2003)

[6] Chen, W., Itoh, S., Shiki, J.: On sampling in shift invariant spaces. IEEE T. Infor. Theory **48**(10), 2802–2810 (2002)

[7] Christensen, O.: Frames and bases. an introductory course. Birkhauser (2008)

[8] De Boor, C., DeVore, R., RON, A.: The structure of finitely generated shift-invariant spaces in L(2)(R(D)). J. Funct. Anal. **119**(1), 37–78 (1994)

[9] Garcia, A., Perez-Villalon, G.: Dual frames in L-2(0,1) connected with generalized sampling in shift-invariant spaces. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **20**(3), 422–433 (2006)

[10] Garcia, A., Perez-Villalon, G., Portal, A.: Riesz bases in L-2(0,1) related to sampling in shift-invariant spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **308**(2), 703–713 (2005)

[11] Hardy, G.H.: Notes on special systems of orthogonal functions. IV. The orthogonal functions of Whittaker's cardinal series. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **37**, 331–348 (1941)

[12] Higgins, J.R.: Sampling theory in Fourier and signal analysis. foundations. Oxford University Press, USA (1996)

[13] Janssen, A.: The Zak Transform - a Signal Transform for Sampled Time-Continuous Signals. Philips J. Res. **43**(1), 23–69 (1988)

[14] Kang, S., Kwon, K.H.: Generalized average sampling in shift invariant spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **377**(1), 70–78 (2011)

[15] Kim, J.M., Kwon, K.H.: Sampling expansion in shift invariant spaces. Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut. Inf. Process. **6**(2), 223–248 (2008)

[16] Kotelnikov, V.A.: On the transmission capacity of the 'ether'and of cables in electrical communications. Izd. Red. Upr. Svyazzi RKKA (1933)

[17] McBRIDE, A.C., KERR, F.H.: On Namias's Fractional Fourier Transforms. IMA J. Appl. Math. **39**(2), 159–175 (1987)

[18] NAMIAS, V.: The Fractional Order Fourier Transform and its Application to Quantum Mechanics. IMA J. Appl. Math. **25**(3), 241–265 (1980)

[19] RON, A., Shen, Z.: Frames and stable bases for shift-invariant subspaces of L2(Rd). Canadian J. Math. **47**(5), 1051–1094 (1995)

[20] Shannon, C.E.: Communication in the presence of noise. Proc. I.R.E. **37**, 10–21 (1949)

[21] Sun, W., Zhou, X.: Average sampling in shift invariant subspaces with symmetric averaging functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **287**(1), 279–295 (2003)

[22] Tao, R., Deng, B., Zhang, W.Q., Wang, Y.: Sampling and Sampling Rate Conversion of Band Limited Signals in the Fractional Fourier Transform Domain. IEEE T. Signal Process. **56**(1), 158–171 (2008)

[23] Torres, R., Pellat-Finet, P., Torres, Y.: Sampling Theorem for Fractional Bandlimited Signals: A Self-Contained Proof. Application to Digital Holography. IEEE Signal Proc. Let. **13**(11), 676–679 (2006)

[24] Walter, G., Shen, X.: A sampling expansion for nonbandlimited signals in chromatic derivatives. IEEE T. Signal Process. **53**(4), 1291–1298 (2005)

[25] Whittaker, E.T.: On the functions which are represented by the expansions of the interpolation theory. Proc. Royal. Soc. Edinburgh **35**, 181–194 (1915)

[26] Xia, X.G.: On bandlimited signals with fractional Fourier transform. IEEE Signal Proc. Let. **3**(3), 72–74 (1996)

[27] Zayed, A.I.: On the relationship between the Fourier and fractional Fourier transforms. IEEE Signal Proc. Let. **3**(12), 310–311 (1996)

[28] Zayed, A.I.: A convolution and product theorem for the fractional Fourier transform. IEEE Signal Proc. Let. **5**(4), 101–103 (1998)